

**ADULT CORONARY ARTERY  
BYPASS GRAFT SURGERY IN THE  
COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS**

**Fiscal Year 2006 Report  
(October 1, 2005 – September 30, 2006)**

**HOSPITAL AND SURGEON STANDARDIZED  
30-DAY MORTALITY RATES**

**Mass-DAC  
Department of Health Care Policy  
Harvard Medical School  
February 2008**

**FUNDED BY THE MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH**

## MASSACHUSETTS DATA ANALYSIS CENTER (MASS-DAC)

Department of Health Care Policy  
 Harvard Medical School  
 180 Longwood Avenue  
 Boston, MA 02115  
 ([www.massdac.org](http://www.massdac.org))

<p><b>Director</b>                  Sharon-Lise T. Normand, Ph.D.                  Professor of Health Care Policy (Biostatistics), Harvard Medical School                  Professor, Department of Biostatistics, Harvard School of Public Health</p>	
<p><b>Program Staff</b></p>	
<p>Ann Lovett, R.N., M.A.                  Program Manager                  Harvard Medical School</p>	<p>Jennifer Grandfield, B.A.                  Project Assistant, Mass-DAC                  Harvard Medical School</p>
<p>Robert Wolf, M.S.                  Biostatistician                  Programmer/Analyst                  Harvard Medical School</p>	<p><b>Senior Medical Advisor                  (Cardiac Surgery)</b></p> <p>David Shahian, M.D.                  Center for Quality and Safety                  Department of Surgery                  Massachusetts General Hospital                  Boston, MA</p> <p><b>Senior Medical Advisors                  (Interventional Cardiology)</b></p> <p>Fred Resnic, M.D., M.Sc.                  Director, Cardiac Catheterization Lab                  Brigham and Women's Hospital</p> <p>Kalon K.L. Ho, M.D., M.Sc.                  Director of Quality Assurance,                  Cardiovascular Division                  Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center</p>
<p>Katya Zelevinsky, B.A.                  Programmer/Analyst                  Harvard Medical School</p>	
<p>Treacy Silverstein Silbaugh, B.S.                  Programmer                  Harvard Medical School</p>	
<p>Matthew Cioffi, M.S.                  Data Manager/Programmer                  Harvard Medical School</p>	

## MASSACHUSETTS CARDIAC SURGERY CENTERS

October 1, 2005 – September 30, 2006

Baystate Medical Center 759 Chestnut Street Springfield, MA 01199	Massachusetts General Hospital (MGH) 12 Fruit Street Boston, MA 02114
Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center (BIDMC) 330 Brookline Avenue Boston, MA 02115	Mount Auburn Hospital 330 Mount Auburn Street Cambridge, MA 02138
Boston Medical Center (BMC) 88 East Newton Street Boston, MA 02118	North Shore Medical Center - Salem Hospital 81 Highland Avenue Salem, MA 01970
Brigham & Women's Hospital (B&W) 75 Francis Street Boston, MA 02115	Southcoast Hospital Group - Charlton Memorial Hospital 363 Highland Avenue Fall River, MA 02720
Cape Cod Hospital 27 Park Street Hyannis, MA 02601	Saint Vincent Hospital at Worcester Medical Center 123 Summer Street Worcester, MA 01608
Caritas Saint Elizabeth's Medical Center 736 Cambridge Street Boston, MA 02135	Tufts-New England Medical Center (NEMC) 750 Washington Street Boston, MA 02111
Lahey Clinic 41 Mall Road Burlington, MA 01805	UMass Memorial Medical Center 55 Lake Avenue North Worcester, MA 01655

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

---

<b>1. A MESSAGE FROM THE DIRECTOR OF THE MASSACHUSETTS BUREAU OF HEALTH CARE SAFETY AND QUALITY.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2 - KEY FINDINGS.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>3 INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>9</b>
3.1 - What is in this Report?.....	9
3.2 - What is Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery? .....	10
3.3 - Definition of Study Population.....	10
3.4 - Why Report on CABG Surgery? .....	11
3.5 - What is Mass-DAC?.....	12
<b>4 - SUMMARY OF DATA COLLECTION &amp; VERIFICATION PROCEDURES .....</b>	<b>13</b>
4.1 - Definition of Patient Outcome.....	13
4.2 - Massachusetts Cardiac Surgery Programs .....	13
4.3 - Data Sources.....	13
4.4 - Mass-DAC Data Collection Procedures.....	14
4.5 - Cleaning and Validation Procedures.....	15
<b>5 - RISK ADJUSTMENT.....</b>	<b>18</b>
5.1 - Who Receives Isolated CABG Surgery in Massachusetts?.....	18
5.2 - Risk Adjustment for Assessing Hospital Mortality .....	18
5.3 - How are Hospital Differences in Patient Outcomes Measured? .....	19
<b>6 - IDENTIFYING OUTLYING CARDIAC SURGERY PROGRAMS.....</b>	<b>20</b>
6.1 - Standardized Mortality Incidence Rates (SMIR) .....	20
6.2 - Cross-Validated P-Values .....	22
6.3 - Sensitivity Analyses .....	23
<b>7 - HOSPITAL QUALITY FOLLOWING ISOLATED CABG SURGERY: FY 2006 .....</b>	<b>25</b>

**TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)**

---

**8--TRENDS IN MORTALITY FOLLOWING ISOLATED CABG SURGERY IN MASSACHUSETTS CY 2002 -FY 2006.....29**

**9 – SURGEON-SPECIFIC STANDARDIZED 30-DAY MORTALITY INCIDENCE RATES: October 1, 2003 – September 30, 2006 ..... 30**

    9.1- What Data are Used for the Analysis?.....30

    9.2- Results.....31

**10 – IMPORTANT DEFINITIONS ..... 40**

**11- ADVISORY COMMITTEES.....45**

APPENDIX 1: PROCEDURE IDENTIFICATION GUIDELINES FOR ADULT CARDIAC SURGERY..... 49

APPENDIX 2: STS DATA ABSTRACTION TOOL - VERSION 2.52.1 .....51

## **1 - A MESSAGE FROM THE DIRECTOR OF THE MASSACHUSETTS BUREAU OF HEALTH CARE SAFETY AND QUALITY**

---

This is the fifth in a series of reports summarizing the quality of care provided by the 14 state licensed cardiac surgery programs in the Commonwealth. The report – funded by the Division of Health Care Quality in the Massachusetts Department of Public Health -- is meant to provide residents with information about the relative performance of cardiac surgery programs as an aid to elective decision making, and health care providers in the Commonwealth with information for quality improvement. Persons experiencing chest pain or other emergency conditions should call '911' immediately.

This report contains analysis of data on 3684 hospital admissions in which an isolated coronary artery bypass graft (ICABG) surgery was performed during the period October 1, 2005 through September 30, 2006. Earlier reports used data for ICABG surgery admissions performed on a calendar year basis. Starting with the release of this report, the analysis will reflect ICABG performed on a fiscal year basis. The shift in period of admissions used for analysis permits the earlier release of information to the public.

This report also includes the analysis on the surgeon specific standardized 30-day mortality incidence rates for the period of October 1, 2003 to September 30, 2006.

The data collection, verification, audit, and analytical procedures implemented in this report constitute the most comprehensive, reliable, and rigorous used in the U.S. This is due in no small part to the dedicated work of the hospital data managers and cardiac surgeons, many of whom volunteered their efforts to participate in many late night meetings at Harvard Medical School. I would also

Adult Isolated CABG Surgery in Massachusetts: October 1, 2005 – September 30, 2006

like to thank staff from the Board of Registration in Medicine and the Massachusetts Chapter of the Society of Thoracic Surgeons for their ongoing support, and of course, all the staff at Mass-DAC for their hard work and dedication.

Paul Dreyer, Ph.D., Director  
Bureau of Health Care Safety and Quality  
Massachusetts Department of Public Health

## 2 - KEY FINDINGS - HOSPITALS

---

- Between October 1, 2005 and September 30, 2006 (fiscal year 2006), there were **7095** hospital admissions in which at least one cardiac surgery was performed in Massachusetts. Approximately one half (**52%**) of the admissions involved an isolated coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) surgery.
- In the fourteen hospitals that performed cardiac surgery in fiscal year 2006, the number of isolated CABG surgery admissions ranged from **81 to 534**.
- The unadjusted 30-day all-cause mortality rate (defined as the number of patients dying within 30 days of surgery divided by the number of patients undergoing isolated CABG surgery) in Massachusetts during fiscal year 2006 was **1.41%**. This corresponded to 52 deaths out of 3684 isolated CABG admissions.
- After adjusting for patient risk, the odds of 30-day mortality in a hospital one standard deviation above the state average was one and one half (odds = 1.45) that of a hospital one standard deviation below the state average. This represents a **reduction in between-hospital variation** from the 2005 calendar year report (odds = 2.06).



## KEY FINDINGS - SURGEONS

---

- 65 surgeons performed **11,718** Isolated CABG surgeries in Massachusetts over the period October 1, 2003 through September 30, 2006.
- Isolated CABG surgery volumes ranged from **2 to 557** across the 65 surgeons.
- Surgeon analyses were based on **60** surgeons who had 11 or more CABG admissions; this corresponded to **11, 683** admissions.
- **82%** of the 60 surgeons performed isolated CABG surgery at only one hospital.
- The unadjusted 30-day all-cause mortality rate in Massachusetts during the period October 1, 2003 through September 30, 2006 was **1.68%**.
- After adjusting for patient risk, the odds of 30-day mortality associated with a surgeon one standard deviation above the state average was **twice** (2.22) that for a surgeon one standard deviation below the state average. There was **no reduction in between-surgeon variation** from the calendar year 2005 report.
- There were 2 surgeons identified as statistical outliers, each having higher than expected mortality. **However**, the 2 surgeons are **no longer** performing cardiac surgery in Massachusetts after identification of outlier status in October 2005.

## 3 - INTRODUCTION

---

### 3.1 - What is in this Report?

This report describes procedures for calculating hospital-specific risk standardized 30-day mortality rates following isolated coronary artery bypass graft (**CABG**) surgery performed in Massachusetts hospitals between October 1, 2005 and September 30, 2006 (also referred to in this report as fiscal year 2006), and for calculating surgeon-specific standardized rates for CABG surgeries performed from October 1, 2003 through September 30, 2006. This report and yearly reports that follow will be based on a fiscal year (October 1 through September 30) rather than a calendar year at the request of the Massachusetts Department of Public Health. Surgeries performed in United States Government Hospitals (e.g., VA Boston Healthcare System – Jamaica Plain Campus) are not included in this report. Information pertains to patients who were 18 years of age or older at the time of their surgery.

Not all hospitals in Massachusetts are permitted to perform cardiac surgery. Hospitals wishing to establish a new cardiac surgery program must submit an application to the Determination of Need Program in the Massachusetts Department of Public Health. In fiscal year 2006, there were fourteen cardiac surgery programs in Massachusetts, each of which submitted data to Mass-DAC.

This document is the 5th report (<http://massdac.org/reports/surgery.html>) describing hospital-specific risk standardized mortality rates following isolated CABG surgery in Massachusetts. It describes risk-standardized mortality rates for the fourteen cardiac surgery programs in Massachusetts that performed at least one isolated CABG surgery between October 1, 2005 and September 30, 2006. This report represents the third report of surgeon-specific risk-standardized 30-day mortality and uses surgeries performed from October 1, 2003 through September 30, 2006.

### 3.2 - What is Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery?

For a heart to function properly, it needs an oxygen-rich blood supply. Coronary arteries send oxygen-rich blood to the heart. When the coronary arteries are healthy, blood flows easily so that the heart muscle gets the oxygen it needs. Coronary artery disease begins when blood flow to the heart is reduced due to a build-up of plaque. Plaque may build up because of high cholesterol, high blood pressure, smoking, diabetes, genetic predisposition, or other factors. If the plaque build-up increases, the coronary arteries narrow and blood flow to the heart is reduced, often leading to angina (chest pain, arm pain, or jaw tightness that occurs with exertion or, in more serious cases, at rest). If blood flow is completely blocked by the sudden development of a clot within a coronary artery, the presence of the clot usually results in a heart attack or myocardial infarction (MI), which may irreversibly damage the heart muscle.

Coronary artery disease is usually treated by one of three methods (medication, coronary intervention, or cardiac surgery). The choice of treatment depends on the degree of blockage, patient symptoms and the number of coronary arteries involved. Coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) surgery is a type of cardiac surgery that creates a new route or bypass around the blocked part of the artery, allowing the blood flow to reach the heart muscle again. During CABG surgery, the blocked coronary arteries are bypassed using some of the patient's own blood vessels. The internal mammary arteries are commonly used for the bypass, but the saphenous vein in the leg or the radial artery in the arm can also be used. Surgical procedures in which CABG is the only major heart surgery performed are referred to as *isolated CABG* procedures.

### 3.3 - Definition of Study Population

The patient population consists of all patients aged 18 years or older undergoing isolated CABG surgery in Massachusetts' adult acute care hospitals between October 1, 2005 and September 30, 2006. Surgeries performed in United States Government hospitals (e.g., VA Boston Healthcare System) are not included. If multiple cardiac surgeries occur during an admission, admissions are categorized by the primary (initial) surgery. Isolated CABG surgery included CABG alone as well as CABG undertaken in combination with the

following procedures: maze (closed epicardial approach and radio frequency), pacemaker lead insertions, ventricular lead insertion for automatic implantable cardioverter defibrillator, patent foramen ovale closure, and femoral artery procedures. If CABG was performed in combination with maze (open heart approach), implantation of a cardioverter defibrillator, transmyocardial revascularization, or opening of the right atrium for tumor resection, then these surgeries were classified as “Other Cardiac Surgery.” Lung biopsies performed in conjunction with a CABG were considered on a case by case basis (see Appendix 1). Table 3.1 lists the distribution of the 7095 cardiac surgery admissions stratified by surgical procedure type in Massachusetts’ hospitals during fiscal year 2006.

<b>Table 3.1: Surgical Procedure Type Classification of Adult Cardiac Surgeries During October 1, 2005 – September 30, 2006, Commonwealth of Massachusetts.</b>		
<b>Surgical Procedure Type</b>	<b>No. of Cardiac Surgery Admissions</b>	<b>% of Cardiac Surgery Admissions</b>
<b>Isolated CABG</b>	<b>3684</b>	<b>51.92</b>
Mitral Valve Replacement (MVR)	137	1.93
Aortic Valve Replacement (AVR)	606	8.54
MVR + CABG	67	0.94
AVR + CABG	514	7.24
AVR + MVR	32	0.45
Other Cardiac Surgery	2005	28.26
Non-Cardiac (Thoracic) Procedures	50	0.70
<b>All Cardiac Surgery Admissions</b>	<b>7095</b>	<b>100.0</b>

### 3.4 - Why Report on CABG Surgery?

CABG surgeries account for the majority of cardiac surgeries performed nationally and are a costly procedure. In fiscal year 2006, isolated CABG surgeries accounted for 51.9 percent of the 7095 cardiac surgery hospital admissions in Massachusetts. Only data on patients who have undergone isolated CABG surgery are used to determine the hospital mortality rates in this report.

### **3.5 - What is Mass-DAC?**

Mass-DAC is a data-coordinating center responsible to the Massachusetts Department of Public Health for the collection, storage, and analysis of the cardiac data submitted by Massachusetts hospitals. Mass-DAC is located in the Department of Health Care Policy, Harvard Medical School in Boston ([www.massdac.org](http://www.massdac.org)). Mass-DAC is advised by several committees on an ongoing basis: the Massachusetts Cardiac Care Hospital Outlier Committee, the Cardiac Surgery Physician Reporting Committee, and the Cardiac Surgery Data Adjudication Committee. In addition, the National Society of Thoracic Surgeons (STS) and the Massachusetts STS serve as resources.

## 4 - SUMMARY OF DATA COLLECTION & VERIFICATION PROCEDURES

---

### 4.1 - Definition of Patient Outcome

Mortality, regardless of cause, measured within 30 days from the date of CABG surgery is the primary patient outcome. Mortality was selected as the primary measure of quality because it is serious and unambiguous.

### 4.2 - Massachusetts Cardiac Surgery Programs

Fourteen cardiac surgery centers treated patients in Massachusetts during October 1, 2005 through September 30, 2006.

### 4.3 - Data Sources

Three different data sources were used to create this report: patient-specific data collected by hospital personnel using the Society of Thoracic Surgeons (STS) National Cardiac Surgery Database software; hospital administrative discharge data; and vital statistics information provided by the Massachusetts Department of Public Health.

Mass-DAC STS Data. Patient-specific risk factor and outcome data were collected by hospital personnel using the STS National Cardiac Surgery Database software. Version 2.52.1 of the STS collection tool (**see Appendix 2**), containing 293 variables, was used for all data submissions for surgeries performed during fiscal year 2006.

Massachusetts Inpatient Acute Hospital Case Mix and Charge Database. Hospital discharge data for fiscal years 2002 through 2006, (October 1, 2002 through September 30, 2006) were obtained from the Massachusetts Division of Health Care Finance and Policy. Data elements included: hospital identifier; gender, race, age and home zip code of the patient; ICD-9 codes; discharge status; dates of admission and discharge; date of surgery; and patient medical record number. Social Security numbers were removed from this database.

Massachusetts Mortality Index Database. Date of death information obtained from Massachusetts death certificates were available for all deaths occurring in Massachusetts between January 1, 2002 and October 30, 2006 from the Massachusetts Registry of Vital Records and Statistics. While the primary source of 30-day mortality rates was the hospital-reported rates, the mortality index database was employed in a verification procedure. Using a confidential and secure transmission procedure, Mass-DAC submitted to the Registry patient names, dates of birth, and social security numbers for all Mass-DAC patients, regardless of hospital-reported survival status. Registry personnel subsequently linked the data submitted by Mass-DAC to the Registry mortality index database using these variables and supplied Mass-DAC with the date of death for all applicable patients.

#### **4.4 - Mass-DAC Data Collection Procedures**

The majority of Massachusetts hospitals used clinical staff, such as physicians, nurses, and perfusionists, to collect information. Data were entered directly into the STS software database by the clinical staff or by a data manager. Alternatively, the data manager collected the STS information under the direction of clinical staff and then entered the data following a retrospective chart review. Data managers were also responsible for maintaining their hospital database, ensuring the accuracy of the data, and transmitting data to both the STS and Mass-DAC.

Data were regularly transmitted by hospitals and harvested by Mass-DAC (**Table 4.1**). This process involved submitting protected data during specific harvest periods. Hospitals encrypt and password protect the data and transmit it electronically using a secure repository on a secure website. Hospitals submitted subsequent corrected data as often as desired during the 3 months following a harvest, and could sign off on its accuracy and completeness at any time during that period. However, all fiscal year 2006 cardiac surgery data were required to be complete by April 1, 2007 after which no changes were accepted without written permission from Mass-DAC. The December 2006 harvest was added to bring the data submissions onto a fiscal year schedule.

<b>Table 4.1: Cardiac Surgery Data Harvest Schedule for Surgeries Performed Between October 1, 2005 and September 30, 2006.</b>	
<b>Month of Data Harvest</b>	<b>Corresponding Dates of Cardiac Surgery</b>
March 2006	October 1, 2005 – December 31, 2005
September 2006	January 1, 2006 – June 30, 2006
December 2006	July 1, 2006 – September 30, 2006
April 2007	Final Data Close-Out for Fiscal Year 2006 Data

#### 4.5 - Cleaning and Validation Procedures

Hospital data submissions were cleaned and verified using a variety of procedures: continuous feedback via ongoing data quality reports, meetings and communication, review of concordance with administrative datasets, and review of concordance with medical chart audits.

Hospital-Specific Data Quality Reports. For each data submission, Mass-DAC provided a quality report to each hospital describing the distribution of all STS elements and identifying cases with missing, out of usual range, or inconsistent coding. The hospitals were given thirty days to correct the data deficiencies identified by Mass-DAC following receipt of each quality report.

There were a total of 124 data submissions sent in by 14 hospitals during fiscal year 2006 with a mean of 3.0 submissions per hospital. Data submissions for fiscal year 2006, ranged from 1 to 7 per hospital. Mass-DAC returned a total of 124 quality reports with a mean of 3.0 reports per hospital. Each submission of data generated a quality report returned to the hospital.

MA Administrative Datasets. Mass-DAC found high agreement between the hospital report of 30-day mortality and information linked to Vital Records. After verifying the mortality status of these patients, there was a net increase of 2 thirty-day mortalities for all cardiac surgeries and no change in thirty-day mortalities for isolated CABG patients.



The Massachusetts Inpatient Case Mix Dataset was used to determine whether all appropriate cases of cardiac surgery from each institution were submitted to Mass-DAC.

Meetings and Communication. Mass-DAC communicated regularly via electronic mail and telephone with the data managers to clarify definitions or procedural issues, and to serve as a facilitator to the national STS. Recently asked and answered questions were discussed at data manager meetings or through an email network. Preliminary results were shared at the state STS meeting and at Mass-DAC Data Manager meetings. This process helped identify areas where data may be inconsistent, incorrectly coded, or outlying.

Audit Data. In the spring of 2007, a sample of the fiscal year 2006 isolated CABG data was audited. Twelve cardiac surgeons and three data managers, representing ten of the fourteen cardiac surgery programs, volunteered to assist the Cardiac Surgery Adjudication Committee with performing the audits of the fiscal year 2006 records. All participants took the Harvard Medical School Human Subjects training prior to review of records and were approved by the Harvard Medical School Internal Review Board (IRB).

Records requested from the hospitals included those for (1) **all** patients who died within 30 days of surgery, (2) **all** patients reported to have shock prior to surgery, (3) **all** patients coded with emergent or emergent salvage status, (4) **all** patients coded as having a myocardial infarction (MI) less than 24 hours prior to surgery, and (5) a random sample of those patients coded as having peripheral vascular disease (PVD). The total number of records requested amounted to 301 from the 14 hospitals. The records were reviewed to determine data consistency and accuracy of coding.

An additional 295 records were also requested for a subset of surgeries that were coded as "CABG + other" and "CABG plus valve" surgery. These records were reviewed to determine if some might be considered Isolated CABG surgery. Documentation requested from the hospitals included discharge summaries, operative reports, admission and history summaries, and catheterization reports. Records that were reviewed and identified by the auditors to be Isolated CABG were then also reviewed for the variables of shock, emergent or salvage status, MI within 24 hours of surgery and PVD.

In all, 596 records were reviewed by the surgeons to determine agreement with the information submitted by the hospitals. The subset of records where procedures were coded as "CABG + other" and "CABG plus valves" were reviewed by the committee to

determine whether the “other” procedures were appropriate to move the entire surgery into the isolated CABG category (see **Appendix 1**), while the additional records were audited to determine justification of shock, emergent or salvage status, PVD, myocardial infarction and timing. If the Adjudication Committee did not agree with the coding of shock, emergent or salvage status or MI less than 24 hours before surgery, the coding was changed. Hospitals were notified of any disagreement in coding and given an opportunity to appeal the Adjudication Committee decisions. All changes made by the Adjudication Committee were then made in the Mass-DAC database.

Of the 596 records that were identified for audit, the auditors disagreed with and changed the coding of 80 records to Isolated CABG; 20 records which were coded for MI 24 hours or less prior to surgery were changed; 30 records coded for status and 44 records coded for shock were also changed by the Adjudication Committee.

## 5 - RISK ADJUSTMENT

### 5.1 - Who Receives Isolated CABG Surgery in Massachusetts?

Table 5.1 lists the age/sex/race distribution for 3684 adult CABG surgery patients at 14 cardiac surgery programs in Massachusetts. The majority of patients were male (75%) and white (90%). In fiscal year 2006, 58% of the cases were age 65 years or older at the time of their surgery. Patients who resided outside of Massachusetts at the time of their surgery comprised 11.1% of the 3684 CABG admissions (data not shown).

**Table 5.1: Age-Sex-Race distribution for all adult Isolated CABG surgery admissions (N = 3684) in MA hospitals during October 1, 2005 – September 30, 2006.** Entries represent numbers of patients.

Age Group	Males					Females				
	White	African American	Hispanic	Other <sup>§</sup>	Total	White	African American	Hispanic	Other <sup>§</sup>	Total
18 – 44	49	4	2	4	59	19	0	1	1	21
45 – 54	318	9	21	17	365	65	6	5	2	78
55 – 64	777	15	27	41	860	144	8	13	11	176
65 – 74	764	14	26	44	848	251	12	15	26	304
≥ 75	605	8	7	19	639	317	4	7	6	334
<b>Total</b>	<b>2513</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>2771</b>	<b>796</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>913</b>

### 5.2 - Risk Adjustment for Assessing Hospital Mortality

Specific “risk” factors are known to contribute to heart disease. These risk factors include high cholesterol, smoking, high blood pressure, family history of heart disease, diabetes, age, gender, and general health status prior to the CABG operation. Such factors also have an impact on the risk of mortality following surgery. Sicker patients or patients with more health-related risks may be more likely to die following a CABG operation than healthier patients. Moreover, patients who are sicker may be more likely

<sup>§</sup> Includes some patients with unknown or missing race information.

to be treated at particular hospitals while patients who are healthier may be more likely to be treated at other hospitals. To fairly assess hospitals and not to penalize hospitals that treat sicker patients, it is important to consider differences in patient health prior to surgery.

The statistical process of accounting for differences in patient sickness prior to their surgery is called *risk adjustment*. This statistical process aims to “level the playing field” by accounting for health risks that patients have prior to surgery. The hospital specific 30-day mortality rates in this report have been adjusted in order to account for differences in patient health prior to surgery. The numbers reported compare each hospital’s outcome to what would be expected to happen **given the types of patients undergoing surgery in its program**; the numbers are not designed to provide comparisons between pairs of hospitals.

### 5.3 - How are Hospital Differences in Patient Outcomes Measured?

If there are differences in hospital quality, due to staff, experience, or other factors, then the risks of 30-day mortality for two patients having exactly the same risk factors prior to a CABG surgery but who are treated in different hospitals may not be the same. The statistical model used to calculate mortality rates in this report, a *hierarchical logistic regression* model, models the difference between the risks of mortality for patients with the same risk factors who are treated at different hospitals. This is accomplished through the inclusion of a hospital-specific (random) effect. If no key risk factor is missing in the statistical model, then the hospital-specific random effect represents quality for each hospital. If there are no differences in the hospital-specific effects across the hospitals, then there is no evidence of quality differences.

## 6 - IDENTIFYING OUTLYING CARDIAC SURGERY PROGRAMS

---

One of the purposes of this report is to identify hospitals that have *unusually* high or *unusually* low mortality rates. Such hospitals are classified as “outlying” – however, the designation of outlying depends on how large the difference is. Two methods were used to identify outlying hospitals. The first method calculates a 95% interval estimate for each hospital's risk-standardized mortality rate. If the interval estimate does not contain the state unadjusted 30-day hospital mortality rate, the hospital is designated as outlying.

However, because any one hospital could influence the estimates of the risk-standardized mortality rate for other hospitals in the state, Mass-DAC also calculates the predicted number of mortalities at each hospital using the experience of all **other** hospitals in Massachusetts. If the probability that the actual number of mortalities is **no different** from the predicted number of mortalities is **small**, then the hospital is classified as “outlying.” Intuitively, this strategy provides a quantitative measure of how **likely** the hospital's outcome is compared to the rest of the state.

If the 95% interval estimate for a particular hospital excludes the state unadjusted 30-day hospital mortality rate **or** if the probability of the observed mortality is no different from that predicted from all other hospitals for a particular hospital is small, then the hospital is designated outlying. It is important to note that the classification in this report is relative to all hospitals in Massachusetts performing CABG surgery.

### 6.1 - Standardized Mortality Incidence Rates (SMIR)

Mass-DAC calculated a standardized mortality incidence rate (SMIR) and a corresponding 95% “posterior” interval for each hospital. The SMIR is interpreted as the projected mortality rate at the hospital **today** if hospital quality remained the same as in fiscal year 2006. The SMIR consists of an estimate of the hospital's underlying (true) risk-adjusted rate divided by an estimate of the mortality rate expected at the hospital given its case-mix. Each hospital's SMIR should only be interpreted in the context of its posterior interval. If the 95% interval includes the unadjusted state rate, then the hospital mortality is **not different than expected**. If the interval excludes the state unadjusted rate, then the

hospital's SMIR is different from what was expected. In this case, if the upper limit of the interval is lower than the unadjusted state rate, then fewer patients than expected died. Such a hospital would be categorized as having **lower than expected mortality**. If the lower limit of the interval is higher than the state unadjusted rate, then more patients than expected died. Such a hospital would be categorized as having **higher than expected mortality**.

Hospital-specific 30-day mortality rates, standardized to the population of adults undergoing CABG surgery in Massachusetts hospitals were calculated using the following procedure:

1. A hierarchical logistic regression model was estimated. This model assumes that the log-odds of 30-day mortality is related linearly to the set of risk factors and permits baseline risk to vary across hospitals. Let  $Y_{ij} = 1$  if the  $j^{\text{th}}$  patient treated at the  $i^{\text{th}}$  cardiac surgery program died within 30-days of surgery and 0 otherwise, and  $n_i$  the total number of isolated CABG cases at the institution in fiscal year 2006. The model estimated was:

$$\text{Log-odds}[\text{Probability}(Y_{ij} = 1)] = \beta_{0i} + \beta(\text{Risk Factors})$$
$$\beta_{0i} \sim \text{Normal}(\mu, \tau^2)$$

2. The Risk Factors are those listed in **Table 7.1** (for surgeries performed between October 1, 2005 and September 30, 2006). The term  $\beta$  describes the association of each risk factor and the log-odds of dying within 30-days of surgery. Large values of  $\beta$  indicate patients with the particular risk factor are at higher risk of dying compared to patients without the risk factor.
3. The "expected" mortality rate at institution "i" is:  $1/n_i \sum_j \text{logit}^{-1}[\mu + \beta(\text{Risk Factors})]$ . This is the mortality rate expected using the mortality intensity for the entire state and the case mix reported at the institute. Thus it represents the severity of cases at the institution.
4. The "smoothed" mortality rate at institution "i" is:  $1/n_i \sum_j \text{logit}^{-1}[\beta_{0i} + \beta(\text{Risk Factors})]$ . This is interpreted as the mortality rate at the  $i^{\text{th}}$  hospital adjusted for case-mix, with larger values generally meaning a sicker baseline population. This mortality rate is

termed “smoothed” as it weights the observed mortality rate by the amount of information available at the hospital relative to the amount of information available between-hospitals. Because the model assumes that the probability of dying is greater than 0, then the smoothed estimate must be greater than 0.

5. The Massachusetts unadjusted rate is:  $Y = 100 \times (\sum_{ij} Y_{ij}) / \sum_i n_i$ .
6. The standardized mortality incidence rate (SMIR) at institution “i” is:

$$Y \times (\text{smoothed}) / (\text{expected}).$$

The SMIR is interpreted as the mortality rate at the hospital expected today if hospital quality remained the same as in fiscal year 2006.

7. Ninety-five percent posterior intervals were calculated for each cardiac program's SMIR.
8. An implicit assumption is that the SMIR must be greater than 0.

The parameters  $\mu$  and  $\tau^2$  represent the overall mean risk-adjusted log-odds of mortality and between-hospital variation, respectively. If there are no mortality differences across cardiac surgery hospitals, then

$$\beta_{0,1} = \beta_{0,2} = \dots = \beta_{0,14} = \beta_0 \text{ and this happens if and only if } \tau^2 = 0$$

The hierarchical logistic model was estimated using WinBUGS software.<sup>2</sup> The prior distributions assumed for  $\beta$ ,  $\mu$ , and  $\tau^2$  were, respectively: independent normal distributions with mean 0 and variance 1000 for the components of  $\beta$ ;  $\mu$  from a normal distribution with mean 0 and variance 1000; and  $1/\tau^2$  from a gamma distribution with shape and inverse scale 0.001.

## 6.2 - Cross-Validated P-Values

Because data from all hospitals are used to estimate the expected number of deaths in any hospital, there is a risk that outlying hospitals may influence the estimates of

---

<sup>2</sup> A burn-in of 5000 draws and inference based on a subsequent 5000 draws. Convergence was assessed using the Gelman-Rubin statistics via 3 parallel chains.

$\mu$  and  $\tau^2$ . One method to identify hospitals as outlying is through “cross-validation.” This process involves systematically dropping each hospital from the data set and re-estimating the risk-adjusted model. Using the new model, the predicted number of deaths at the dropped hospital is calculated. This predicted number may be interpreted as the number of mortalities expected at the dropped hospital if the dropped hospital had the same level of quality as the remaining hospitals in the Commonwealth.

Mass-DAC compared the predicted number of deaths to the observed number of deaths at the dropped hospital and calculated a “probability.” This probability, loosely called a “p-value” quantifies how **likely** the observed number of deaths would be if the dropped hospital had the same level of quality as all remaining cardiac surgery hospitals. Small p-values (those  $\leq 0.01$ ) indicate that the dropped hospital is outlying. When the p-value is small and the actual number of deaths is larger than that predicted by the remaining hospitals, the dropped hospital is classified as having **higher than predicted mortality**; when the p-value is small and the actual number of deaths is smaller than the number of deaths predicted by its peers, then the hospital is classified as having **lower than predicted mortality**. Mass-DAC repeated this procedure, eliminating each cardiac surgery hospital, and calculating a p-value for each hospital.

### 6.3 - Sensitivity Analyses

Several sensitivity analyses were undertaken to determine whether conclusions would change when making reasonable changes to some of the underlying assumptions. A key assumption, given the small number of hospitals in Massachusetts, is the assumed distribution for the between-hospital variance. The main analyses assumed the *precision* (defined as one over the variance) arose from a gamma distribution. Because the prior distribution for the variance component can influence the results, Mass-DAC re-estimated the hierarchical model using different prior distributions for  $\tau^2$ . Because the parameter  $\tau$  represents the standard deviation of the hospital-specific risk-adjusted log-odds of mortality, we changed our assumptions regarding the likely values of the standard deviation. For example, a value of  $\tau = 0.75$  implies that between-hospital mortality log-odds could range anywhere from 1 to 15. We thus first assumed that the between-hospital *standard deviation* arose from a uniform distribution over the range 0 to 1.5. This translates



to assuming that small values in between-hospital heterogeneity are just as likely as large values. We also assumed the between-hospital *standard deviation* arose from a half normal distribution with mean 0 and variance 0.26. The half normal distribution has its mode at 0 (permitting no differences in between-hospital log-odds of mortality) and its median at 0.39 (permitting the range in hospital log-odds of mortality of about 5).

## 7 - HOSPITAL QUALITY FOLLOWING ISOLATED CABG SURGERY: FISCAL YEAR 2006

---

Of the 3684 isolated CABG surgery admissions in fiscal year 2006 in Massachusetts, 52 patients (1.41%) died within 30 days of their surgery. **Table 7.1** lists the prevalence (%) of important risk factors and the relationship of each risk factor (controlling for all other risk factors) with 30-day mortality following surgery<sup>3</sup>. For example, 75.2% of the 3684 CABG surgery admissions were male patients. Odds ratios greater than 1 correspond to increased risk of mortality while those less than 1 correspond to decreased risk of mortality. The odds ratio of 0.61 for males indicates that males are 0.61 times as likely as females to die within 30 days of CABG surgery. In contrast, patients having cardiogenic shock prior to isolated CABG surgery are 6.88 times more likely to die within 30 days than patients not having cardiogenic shock. Because age is measured in years, the table reports the average number of years over age 65 for the cohort.

**Figure 7.1** displays the SMIRs and corresponding 95% posterior intervals. The solid black vertical line in the figure is the unadjusted state 30-day mortality rate of 1.41%. Listed on the left-hand side of the figure are the total number of isolated CABG surgery admissions and the expected 30-day mortality rates for each hospital. The expected mortality rate provides an overall assessment of case-mix severity at each program. Increasing values of the expected 30-day mortality rates correspond to increasing admission severity of the cases. Listed on the right-hand side are the estimated SMIRs. All 95% probability intervals include the unadjusted state rate of 1.41%.

**Figure 7.2** presents the cross-validated p-values under the different assumed prior distributions for the between-hospital variation parameter. The cross validated p-values indicate that there were no cardiac surgery program outliers in fiscal year 2006.


---

<sup>3</sup> Fit using a non-hierarchical logistic regression model indicated area under the ROC curve of 0.79. The Hosmer-Lemeshow Goodness-of-Fit test did not indicate a lack of fit ( $\chi^2$  (8 dof) = 10.09, p = 0.26). Model discrimination ranged from 0% (0 deaths in 368 admissions) in the lowest risk decile to 6.0% (22 deaths in 366 admissions) in the highest risk decile.

**Table 7.1: Prevalences and Adjusted Odds Ratios of 30-Day Mortality Following Isolated CABG Surgery in Adults: 10/1/2005 – 9/30/2006.** Based on 3684 surgeries with 52 deaths (1.41%). \*Average age of patients undergoing isolated CABG surgery is  $65 + 1.52 = 66.52$  years of age.


Risk Factor	Prevalence (%)	Adjusted Odds Ratio	95% Interval for the Adjusted Odds Ratio
Years over 65*	Mean = 1.52 yrs	1.04	(1.01, 1.07)
Male	75.22	0.61	(0.34, 1.20)
Renal Failure	6.57	2.98	(1.15, 5.96)
Diabetes	39.44	0.97	(0.49, 1.73)
Hypertension	84.09	2.03	(0.68, 5.03)
Peripheral Vascular Disease	17.35	1.34	(0.61, 2.43)
Prior CABG surgery	1.82	2.69	(0.29, 8.35)
Prior Percutaneous Coronary Intervention (PCI)	20.98	0.98	(0.42, 1.88)
Cardiogenic Shock	0.87	6.88	(1.51, 20.03)
Ejection Fraction (Ref = $\geq 40\%$ )			
< 30% or missing	10.07	1.98	(0.76, 3.97)
30 – 39 %	9.99	1.99	(0.77, 3.92)
Myocardial Infarction (MI) (Ref = None)			
< 6 hours	1.06	1.58	(0.23, 5.43)
7 – 24 hours	2.23	1.32	(0.21, 4.12)
$\geq 1$ day	48.97	0.73	(0.35, 1.36)
Status of CABG (Ref = Elective)			
Urgent	59.61	3.13	(1.33, 6.60)
Emergent/Salvage	2.58	15.59	(3.08, 46.96)
Pre-Op Intra-Aortic Balloon Pump	11.24	1.05	(0.37, 2.16)
<b>Between-Hospital Parameters</b>		<b>Mean</b>	<b>95% Interval</b>
Between-Hospital Average logit, $\mu$		-6.34	(-7.48, -5.33)
Between-Hospital Variance in logits, $\tau^2$		0.035	(-0.00066, 0.2137)

**Figure 7.1. Ninety-Five Percent Posterior Intervals for Standardized Mortality Incidence Rates (SMIRs) Following Isolated CABG Surgery in Massachusetts, 10/1/2005 – 9/30/2006.** # of cases refers to the number of isolated CABG surgery admissions; expected mortality is the percentage of cases expected to die given the case-mix of the patients in the hospital. The white vertical line in each box is the hospital's SMIR while the black vertical line denotes the unadjusted state 30-day mortality rate of 1.41%.



**KEY:** **B&W** = Brigham & Women's Hospital; **BIDMC** = Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center; **BMC** = Boston Medical Center; **Baystate** = Baystate Medical Center; **Cape Cod** = Cape Cod Hospital; **Charlton** = South coast Hospital Group – Charlton Memorial Hospital; **Lacey** = Lacey Clinic; **MGH** = Massachusetts General Hospital ; **Mt. Auburn** = Mount Auburn Hospital; **NEMC** = Tufts New England Medical Center; **Salem** = North Shore Medical Center-Salem Hospital; **St. Elizabeth's** = Caritas Saint Elizabeth's Medical Center; **St. Vincent** = Saint Vincent Hospital at Worcester Medical Center; **UMass** = UMass Memorial Medical Center.

**Figure 7.2: Cross-Validated P-Values: Isolated Cardiac Surgery Admissions between 10/1/2005 – 9/30/2006.** P-values for each of the 14 cardiac surgery programs are listed on the y-axis; the x-axis identifies the hospital. Results are presented under a variety of assumptions for fitting the hierarchical regression model. From left to right, bars represent gamma, half normal and uniform prior distributions.



**KEY:** **B&W** = Brigham & Women's Hospital; **BIDMC** = Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center; **BMC** = Boston Medical Center; **Baystate** = Baystate Medical Center; **Cape Cod** = Cape Cod Hospital; **Charlton** = South coast Hospital Group – Charlton Memorial Hospital; **Lacey** = Lacey Clinic; **MGH** = Massachusetts General Hospital ; **Mt. Auburn** = Mount Auburn Hospital; **NEMC** = Tufts New England Medical Center; **Salem** = North Shore Medical Center-Salem Hospital; **St. Elizabeth's** = Caritas Saint Elizabeth's Medical Center; **St. Vincent** = Saint Vincent Hospital at Worcester Medical Center; **UMass** = UMass Memorial Medical Center.

## 8 – TRENDS IN MORTALITY FOLLOWING ISOLATED CABG SURGERY IN MASSACHUSETTS CY 2002 – FY 2006

---

<b>Table 8.1: Summary of Admissions and 30-Day Crude Mortality Percentages CY 2002 – FY 2006</b>					
<b>Year of Isolated CABG Surgery</b>	<b>CY2002</b>	<b>CY2003</b>	<b>CY2004</b>	<b>CY2005</b>	<b>FY2006</b>
Number of Hospitals	13	14	14	14	14
Number of Admissions	4603	4393	3986	3883	3684
30-Day Crude Mortality, %	2.19	2.25	2.01	1.65	1.41

## 9 –SURGEON-SPECIFIC STANDARDIZED 30-DAY MORTALITY INCIDENCE RATES: OCTOBER 1, 2003 – SEPTEMBER 30, 2006

---

### 9.1 -What Data are used for this Analysis?

All patients undergoing isolated coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) surgery between October 1, 2003 and September 30, 2006 were identified. The Massachusetts Cardiac Surgery STS Quality and Outcomes Committee made recommendations regarding surgeon inclusion and exclusion criteria for the purpose of public reporting. These recommendations involved having a very low surgeon volume threshold but took into consideration whether or not the surgeon was active for at least two years and still active in the year after the end of the reporting period (e.g., in 2007); and excluding surgeons associated with 10 or fewer isolated CABG surgeries. For those surgeons with fewer than 200<sup>4</sup> Isolated CABG surgery admissions over the three year period, an asterisk is included to indicate that "small sample size may diminish the accuracy of these estimates."


The surgeon-specific 30-day mortality rates in this report have been adjusted in order to account for differences in patient health prior to surgery using methods similar to those for hospitals. The risk factors included in the model are the same as those risk factors that are used in the hospital model. Methodology similar to the hospital analysis is utilized to identify surgeons who have unusually high or unusually low risk-standardized mortality rates. Patients are assigned to the surgeon that performed their Isolated CABG surgery.

---

<sup>4</sup>The number 200 comes from a "power" calculation. The overall 30-day mortality rate between 2003 and 2005 is 1.68%. Using a 2 sided-test with alpha = 0.05 and 80% power, minimum sample size was calculated assuming a true hospital performance rate three times the expected. Approximately 200 cases are needed to detect an absolute increase of 3.4 percentage points (1.68% versus 5.04%). This calculation is approximate because the surgeon-specific expected mortality rates depend on the surgeon's case-mix distribution. This implies that for some surgeons, the expected mortality rate is < 1.68 because they treat healthier patients and for other surgeons the expected rate would be more than 1.68% because they treat sicker patients. For example, 25% of the surgeons had expected mortality rates of 1.3% or less; at least 290 cases would be needed to detect an absolute increase of 2.6% points; 25% of the surgeons had expected mortality rates of 1.9%; 200 cases would be needed to detect an absolute increase of 3.5 percentage points.

**9.2 - Results.**

A total of 11,718 Isolated CABG surgery admissions corresponding to 65 surgeons were initially identified. Five surgeons who treated a total of 33 patients were eliminated from the analyses because they each treated less than 11 patients during the 3 years. Two Isolated CABG patients were also eliminated from analyses because 30-day mortality status was missing and their survival status could not be verified. Consequently, the surgeon analysis sample consists of **11,683** adults treated by 60 surgeons in the Commonwealth.



Of the 60 surgeons, 49 (82%) treated patients at **exactly one** hospital in the Commonwealth, 8 (13%) at **exactly two** hospitals, and 3 (5%) treated patients at **exactly three** hospitals. **Table 9.1** provides descriptive age-sex-race/ethnicity statistics for the sample of 11,683 patients.



**Table 9.1: Age-Sex-Race distribution for all adult Isolated CABG surgery admissions (N = 11,683) in MA hospitals during October 1, 2003 – September 30, 2006. Entries represent numbers of patients.**

Age Group	Females					Males				
	White	African American	Hispanic	Other <sup>5</sup>	Total	White	African American	Hispanic	Other <sup>5</sup>	Total
18 – 44	64	4	5	4	77	153	11	10	20	194
45 – 54	175	16	13	6	210	1016	35	63	49	1163
55 – 64	452	26	35	21	534	2438	46	83	107	2674
65 – 74	811	29	48	52	940	2531	43	83	111	2768
≥ 75	1034	23	20	28	1105	1925	18	27	48	2018
<b>Total</b>	<b>2536</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>111</b>	<b>2866</b>	<b>8063</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>266</b>	<b>335</b>	<b>8817</b>

Table 9.2 lists the frequencies of the risk factors used to account for patient differences across surgeons as well as the association of each risk factor with mortality. A non-hierarchical logistic regression model indicated area under the ROC curve of 0.77. The Hosmer-Lemeshow Goodness-of-Fit test did not indicate a lack of fit ( $\chi^2$  (8 dof) = 3.76,  $p = 0.88$ ). Model discrimination ranged from 0.3% (3 deaths in 1168 admissions) in lowest risk decile to 7.2% (83 deaths in 1147 admissions) in the highest risk decile.

Figure 9.1 displays the SMIRs and corresponding 95% posterior intervals. The solid black vertical line in the figure is the unadjusted state 30-day mortality rate of 1.68%. Listed on the left-hand side of the figure are the total number of isolated CABG surgery admissions and the expected 30-day mortality rates for each surgeon. The expected mortality rate provides an overall assessment of case-mix severity for each surgeon. Listed on the right-hand side are the estimated SMIRs.

Figure 9.2 presents the cross-validated p-values under the different assumed prior distributions for the between-surgeon variation parameter. The cross validated p-values indicated that two surgeons are statistical outliers: surgeon numbers 38 and 45. Because the mortality predicted for these surgeons was significantly lower than that observed, each surgeon is classified as having higher than expected mortality. In the figure, there were 14 surgeons who did not have any Isolated CABG surgery admissions during fiscal

<sup>5</sup> Includes some patients with unknown or missing race information.

year 2006 (denoted by a \* symbol), including the two surgeons having higher than predicted mortality. Surgeons having fewer than 200 Isolated CABG surgery admissions are denoted by a # in Figure 8.2 with 34 (57%) out of 60 surgeons falling into this group.

**Table 9.3** identifies each surgeon, the hospital(s) where the surgeon treated cases between October 1, 2003 and September 30, 2006, and summary statistics. Two surgeons are identified as having higher than expected 30-day mortality and the remaining 58 surgeons are identified as having 30-day mortality no different than expected. It is important to note that the 2 surgeons are **no longer** performing cardiac surgery in the state.

**Table 9.2; Prevalences and Adjusted Odds Ratios of 30-Day Mortality Following Isolated CABG Surgery in Adults, Massachusetts: 10/1/03- 9/30/06.** Based on 11,683 surgeries by 60 surgeons with 196 deaths (1.68%). \*Average age of patients undergoing isolated CABG surgery is  $65 + 1.58 = 66.58$  years of age.

Risk Factor	Prevalence (%)	Adjusted Odds Ratio	95% Interval for Adjusted Odds Ratio
Years over 65*	Mean = 1.58	1.05	(1.03, 1.07)
Male	75.47	0.62	(0.47, 0.85)
Renal Failure	6.23	2.34	(1.50, 3.46)
Diabetes	38.39	1.28	(0.92, 1.71)
Hypertension	83.23	0.90	(0.58, 1.34)
Peripheral Vascular Disease	17.71	2.15	(1.54, 2.89)
Prior CABG surgery	2.52	2.42	(1.13, 4.33)
Prior Percutaneous Coronary Intervention (PCI)	20.09	1.00	(0.67, 1.41)
Cardiogenic Shock	0.98	4.15	(1.95, 7.54)
Ejection Fraction (Ref = $\geq 40\%$ )			
< 30% or missing	11.20	1.98	(1.32, 2.80)
30 – 39	10.89	1.35	(0.86, 2.01)
Myocardial Infarction(MI)(Ref = None)			
< 6 hours	1.01	1.63	(0.53, 3.71)
7 – 24 hours	2.41	1.71	(0.75, 3.25)
$\geq 1$ day	48.45	1.22	(0.86, 1.68)
Status of CABG (Ref = Elective)			
Urgent	63.20	1.51	(1.00, 2.26)
Emergent/Salvage	2.78	3.09	(1.31, 6.10)
Pre-Op Intra-Aortic Balloon Pump	11.29	1.64	(1.04, 2.39)
<b>Between-Surgeon Estimates</b>			
Between-Hospital Average logit, $\mu$		-5.59	(-6.17, -5.05)
Between-Hospital Variance in logits, $\tau^2$		0.159	(0.013, 0.435)

**Figure 9.1: FY2004 - FY2006 Risk-Standardized 30-Day Surgeon-Specific Mortality Rates.** Rates based on 11,683 Isolated CABG surgeries performed by 60 surgeons.






Figure 9.1 continues on the following page  
 Mass-DAC ([www.massdac.org](http://www.massdac.org))

**Figure 9.1 Continued**



**Figure 9.2 FY2004 - FY2006 Surgeon P Values. Based on 11,683 Isolated CABG surgeries performed by 60 surgeons.**



Posterior P-value < 0.01 (Higher mortality than that predicted by all other MA surgeons).

**Table 9.3 - Surgeon Standardized 30-Day All Cause Mortality Incidence Rates (SMIRS) Following Isolated CABG Surgery, MA., October 1, 2003 – September 30, 2006. Surgeons ordered alphabetically by surname.**

Surgeon No. & Name	Hospital(s)	Lower Limit of 95% Interval	SMIR (%)	Upper Limit of 95% Interval	P Value §	Interpretation ¶
1 - Agnihotri, Arvind #	Massachusetts General Hospital; North Shore Medical Center-Salem Hospital	0.9691	1.787	2.964	0.332	As-expected
2 - Akins, Cary	Massachusetts General Hospital	1.049	1.834	3.014	0.319	As-expected
3 - Aranki, Sary	Brigham & Women's Hospital	1.284	2.033	3.166	0.238	As-expected
4 - Birjiniuk, Vladimir #	Mount Auburn Hospital	0.6582	1.415	2.345	0.193	As-expected
5 - Bojar, Robert	Saint Vincent Hospital at Worcester Medical Center; Tufts-New England Medical Center	0.8214	1.582	2.608	0.411	As-expected
6 - Bolman, Ralph #	Brigham & Women's Hospital	0.7124	1.717	3.328	0.250	As-expected
7 - Bowen, Frank #	Lahey Clinic	0.9065	1.745	2.986	0.218	As-expected
8 - Byrne, John * #	Brigham & Women's Hospital	0.6376	1.519	2.7	0.291	As-expected
9 - Campos, Christian	Southcoast Hospital Group-Charlton Memorial Hospital; UMass Memorial Medical Center	1.173	2.156	3.952	0.118	As-expected
10 - Carr, Thomas	Southcoast Hospital Group-Charlton Memorial Hospital	0.765	1.484	2.371	0.313	As-expected
11 - Chen, Frederick #	Brigham & Women's Hospital	0.5783	1.372	2.305	0.092	As-expected
12 - Cohn, Lawrence #	Brigham & Women's Hospital	0.8376	1.878	3.749	0.159	As-expected
13 - Cohn, William * #	Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center	0.8229	1.836	3.608	0.166	As-expected
14 - Couper, Gregory #	Brigham & Women's Hospital	0.7598	1.595	2.69	0.448	As-expected
15 - D'Agostino, Richard	Lahey Clinic	1.024	1.894	3.227	0.259	As-expected
16 - Davidson, Michael #	Brigham & Women's Hospital	0.9377	1.805	3.116	0.113	As-expected
17 - deGuzman, Brian *	Lahey Clinic	0.7425	1.482	2.44	0.311	As-expected
18 - De La Torre, Ralph #	Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center	0.7301	1.616	2.943	0.485	As-expected
19 - Deaton, David	Baystate Medical Center	0.9703	1.719	2.766	0.435	As-expected
20 - Ehsan, Afshin	Saint Vincent Hospital at Worcester Medical Center; Tufts-New England Medical Center	0.7458	1.565	2.683	0.401	As-expected
21 - Engelman, Daniel	Baystate Medical Center	0.9965	1.708	2.682	0.444	As-expected
22 - Flack, Joseph	Baystate Medical Center	0.7572	1.431	2.219	0.206	As-expected
23 - Francalancia, Nicola * # **	UMass Memorial Medical Center	1.593	2.811	4.971	0.010	As-expected
24 - Hagberg, Robert #	Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center	0.9778	2.107	4.354	0.048	As-expected
25 - Harrison, Lynn #	UMass Memorial Medical Center	0.7223	1.678	3.299	0.363	As-expected
26 - Hatton, Paul * #	Southcoast Hospital Group-Charlton Memorial Hospital	0.912	2.026	4.273	0.031	As-expected
27 - Hilgenberg, Alan	Massachusetts General Hospital	0.5486	1.371	2.386	0.107	As-expected
28 - Hunter, Curtis #	Boston Medical Center	1.108	1.98	3.359	0.154	As-expected
29 - Khabbaz, Kamal	Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center; Saint Vincent Hospital at Worcester Medical Center; Tufts-New England Medical Center	1.045	1.853	3.101	0.327	As-expected
30 - Lahey, Stephen * #	UMass Memorial Medical Center	0.9956	1.909	3.466	0.119	As-expected
31 - Lazar, Harold	Boston Medical Center	1.197	2.136	3.817	0.110	As-expected
Continued next page...						

Adult Isolated CABG Surgery in Massachusetts: October 1, 2005 – September 30, 2006

Surgeon No. & Name	Hospital(s)	Lower Limit of 95% Interval	SMIR (%)	Upper Limit of 95% Interval	P Value §	Interpretation ¶
32 - Liddicoat, John *	Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center	0.8638	1.725	2.995	0.395	As-expected
33 - MacGillivray, Thomas #	Massachusetts General Hospital	0.5413	1.364	2.394	0.099	As-expected
34 - Madsen, Joren #	Massachusetts General Hospital	0.9901	1.943	3.581	0.194	As-expected
35 - Maggs, Peter #	Mount Auburn Hospital	0.6946	1.54	2.687	0.334	As-expected
36 - Mihaljevic, Tomislav * #	Brigham & Women's Hospital	0.808	1.635	2.779	0.478	As-expected
37 - Moon, Richard	Caritas Saint Elizabeth's Medical Center	1.249	2.227	4.024	0.112	As-expected
38 - Moses, Robert * #	Caritas Saint Elizabeth's Medical Center	1.519	2.652	4.807	0.008	Higher-than-expected
39 - Okike, Okike Nsidinanya	UMass Memorial Medical Center	1.061	2.017	3.563	0.175	As-expected
40 - Pettiford, Brian * #	Southcoast Hospital Group-Charlton Memorial Hospital	0.8481	1.911	3.884	0.108	As-expected
41 - Pirundini, Paul #	Cape Cod Hospital	0.8034	1.755	3.352	0.338	As-expected
42 - Rastegar, Hassan	Caritas Saint Elizabeth's Medical Center; Saint Vincent Hospital at Worcester Medical Center; Tufts-New England Medical Center	0.5354	1.339	2.343	0.086	As-expected
43 - Rizzo, Robert	Brigham & Women's Hospital; Cape Cod Hospital	0.841	1.629	2.701	0.484	As-expected
44 - Rousou, John	Baystate Medical Center	1.064	1.816	2.877	0.327	As-expected
45 - Saltman, Adam * #	UMass Memorial Medical Center	1.601	2.886	5.229	0.004	Higher-than-expected
46 - Samy, Sanjay #	Lahey Clinic	0.7357	1.753	3.494	0.172	As-expected
47 - Sellke, Frank	Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center	1.292	2.331	4.14	0.064	As-expected
48 - Shahian, David * #	Lahey Clinic	0.6595	1.442	2.315	0.119	As-expected
49 - Shapira, Oz	Boston Medical Center	0.5029	1.148	1.839	0.022	As-expected
50 - Shekar, Prem	Brigham & Women's Hospital	0.6607	1.411	2.304	0.219	As-expected
51 - Shemin, Richard #	Boston Medical Center	0.7167	1.597	2.858	0.426	As-expected
52 - Symes, James * #	Caritas Saint Elizabeth's Medical Center	1.079	1.848	3.033	0.275	As-expected
53 - Tam, Stanley #	Mount Auburn Hospital; North Shore Medical Center-Salem Hospital; UMass Memorial Medical Center	0.6703	1.427	2.32	0.201	As-expected
54 - Toran, Ann #	Caritas Saint Elizabeth's Medical Center; North Shore Medical Center-Salem Hospital	1.017	1.907	3.352	0.227	As-expected
55 - Torchiana, David * #	Massachusetts General Hospital	1.044	2.179	4.587	0.018	As-expected
56 - Vandersalm, Thomas #	North Shore Medical Center-Salem Hospital	0.8759	1.852	3.536	0.220	As-expected
57 - Vlahakes, Gus #	Massachusetts General Hospital	1.078	2.076	3.994	0.100	As-expected
58 - Walker, Jennifer	Massachusetts General Hospital; North Shore Medical Center-Salem Hospital	0.8904	1.66	2.715	0.492	As-expected
59 - Warner, Kenneth	Saint Vincent Hospital at Worcester Medical Center Tufts-New England Medical Center	0.9197	1.666	2.661	0.480	As-expected
60 - Williamson, Christina	Lahey Clinic	0.7065	1.451	2.368	0.271	As-expected

§P-values less than or equal to 0.01 denote statistical outliers.

¶As-expected = surgeon's mortality rate is no different from that expected among surgeons treating similar case-mix;

¶Higher-than-expected = surgeon's mortality rate is higher than expected among surgeons with similar case-mix.

¶Lower-than-expected = surgeon's mortality rate is lower than expected among surgeons with similar case-mix.

# Small sample size may diminish accuracy of estimates. Indicates that the surgeon had volume smaller than or equal to 199

\*Known not to have any Isolated CABG admissions during Fiscal Year 2007.

\*\* P value is larger than 0.010



## 10 - IMPORTANT DEFINITIONS

---

**Aortic Valve Repair:** Surgical repair of the aortic valve of the heart. The aortic valve is responsible for facilitating the flow of blood into the aorta.

**Aortic Valve Replacement:** A surgical procedure involving replacement of the aortic valve of the heart.

**Cardiac Catheterization:** A procedure that determines the extent and the location of the coronary artery obstruction or blockage.

**Cardiac Surgery** (as defined by the Massachusetts legislature for the Massachusetts Cardiac Study): Surgery on the heart and the thoracic great vessels. Examples of cardiac surgery include coronary artery bypass grafts, heart valve repair or replacement, heart transplantation, surgery of the thoracic aorta, repair of congenital heart defects, and minimally invasive heart surgery.

**Cardiogenic Shock:** (STS variable definition): Indicates the patient was, at the time of surgery, in a clinical state of hypoperfusion according to either of the following criteria:

1. Systolic BP < 80 and/or Cardiac Index < 1.8 despite maximal treatment;
2. IV inotropes and/or IABP necessary to maintain Systolic BP > 80 and/or CI > 1.8.

**Cardiovascular Disease:** Includes diseases of the heart or vessels that supply the body and the heart muscle with blood and oxygen.

**Coronary Artery Disease:** A disease affecting the coronary arteries in which the flow of oxygen-containing blood to the heart muscle is partially or completely blocked, resulting in angina or a heart attack.

**Coronary Artery Bypass Graft [CABG] Surgery:** An operation in which the blocked coronary vessels are bypassed with the patient's own vessels to improve flow to the heart

muscle. Coronary vessels are those vessels that supply the heart muscle with blood and oxygen.

**Cross-Validation:** Model validation is done to ascertain whether predicted values from a statistical model are likely to accurately predict responses on future subjects or on subjects not used to develop the analytical model. Cross-validation involves dropping a set of observations from the analytical process and the outcomes for the dropped set are predicted. This process is repeated many times in order to characterize the accuracy of the predictions.

**Diabetes:** (STS variable definition) Indicates the patient has a history of diabetes, regardless of duration of disease or need for anti-diabetic agents. Includes on admission or preoperative diagnosis. Does not include gestational diabetes.

**Ejection Fraction:** (STS variable definition) Indicates the percentage of the blood emptied from the ventricle at the end of the contraction.

**Hypertension:** (STS variable definition) Indicates the patient has a diagnosis of hypertension, documented by one of the following:

- a. Documented history of hypertension diagnosed and treated with medication, diet and/or exercise.
- b. Blood pressure >140 systolic or >90 diastolic on at least 2 occasions.
- c. Currently on antihypertensive medication.

**Pre-Operative Intra-Aortic Balloon Pump:** (STS variable definition) Indicates the patient was placed on Intra-Aortic Balloon Pump (IABP).

**Mitral Valve Repair:** Surgical repair of the mitral valve of the heart. The mitral valve is responsible for facilitating the flow of blood from the left atrium into the left ventricle.

**Mitral Valve Replacement:** A surgical procedure which involves the replacement of the mitral valve of the heart.

**Myocardial Infarction:** (STS variable definition) Indicates the patient has a history of an MI.

For MI occurrence prior to current hospitalization, one of the following is necessary:

1. MI documented in the medical record. OR
2. EKG Documented Q wave. Q waves to be 0.03 seconds in width and/or  $\geq$  one third of the total QRS complex in two or more contiguous leads.

For MI occurrence during current hospitalization, two of the following three criteria are necessary:

1. Ischemic symptoms in the presence or absence of chest discomfort.  
Ischemic symptoms may include: a) chest, epigastric, arm, wrist or jaw discomfort with exertion or at rest; b) unexplained nausea and vomiting; c) persistent shortness of breath secondary to left ventricular failure; d) unexplained weakness, dizziness, lightheadedness, diaphoresis or syncope.
2. Enzyme level elevation. One of the following four are necessary:
  - a) CK-MB: -Maximal value of CK-MB  $> 2 \times$  the upper limit of normal on one occasion during the first hours after the index clinical event OR -Maximal value of CK-MB, preferable CK-MB mass,  $>$  upper limit of normal on two successive samples; b) CK  $> 2 \times$  the upper limit of normal; c) LDH subtype 1  $>$  LDH subtype 2; d) Maximal concentration of troponin T or I  $>$  the MI decision limit on at least one occasion during the first 24 hours after the index clinical event.
3. Serial ECG (at least two) showing changes from baseline or serially in ST-T.

**Percutaneous Coronary Intervention:** A non-surgical procedure designed to open and maintain the patency of obstructed coronary vessels. This treatment is an invasive procedure performed in the cardiac catheterization lab (e.g., outside of an operating room) by an interventional cardiologist in which a balloon, stent, or other device is delivered to the affected vessel to open and maintain its patency.

**Peripheral Vascular Disease:** (STS variable definition) Indicates the patient has Peripheral Vascular Disease, as indicated by claudication either with exertion or rest; amputation for arterial insufficiency; aorto-iliac occlusive disease reconstruction; peripheral vascular

bypass surgery, angioplasty, or stent; documented Aortic Abdominal Aneurysm, Aortic Abdominal Aneurysm repair, or stent; positive non-invasive testing documented. Does not include procedures such as vein stripping, carotid disease, or procedures originating above the diaphragm .

**Prior CABG Surgery:** (STS variable definition) Indicates the patient had a previous Coronary Bypass Graft prior to the current admission.

**Prior Percutaneous Coronary Intervention (PCI):** (STS variable definition) Indicates a previous Percutaneous Cardiac Intervention (PCI) was performed any time prior to the surgical procedure. PCI refers to those treatment procedures that unblock narrowed coronary arteries without performing surgery. PCI may include, but is not limited to: 1. Balloon Catheter Angioplasty, Percutaneous Transluminal Coronary Angioplasty (PTCA); 2. Rotational Atherectomy; 3. Directional Atherectomy; 4. Extraction Atherectomy; 5. Laser Atherectomy; 6. Intracoronary Stent Placement

**Renal Failure:** (STS variable definition) Indicates the patient has 1) a documented history of renal failure and/or 2) a history of creatinine > 2.0. Prior renal transplant patients are not included as pre-op renal failure unless since transplantation their creatinine has been or currently is > 2.0.

**Risk Factors:** Factors that contribute to an individual's risk of coronary artery disease or of death. These factors are classified as those that can be modified or changed by an individual, and those that cannot be changed. Examples of risk factors that cannot be modified include age, gender, family history of coronary artery disease, and ethnicity. Risk factors that can be controlled include diet, cholesterol levels, obesity, smoking, hypertension, inactive lifestyle, stress, and diabetes.

**Standardized Mortality Incidence Rate (SMIR):** The ratio of smoothed number of deaths (the number of deaths adjusted for the number of cases treated at the hospital and the hospital case mix) to expected number of deaths (the expected number of deaths calculated on the basis of the mortality experience of all cardiac surgery programs) multiplied by the state unadjusted rate. SMIRs are interpreted in terms of their

corresponding probability intervals. If the probability interval includes the state rate, then the SMIR is no different from what was expected. If the interval excludes the state rate, then the SMIR is “significantly different” from what was expected. In this case, if the upper limit of the interval is lower than the state rate, then fewer patients than expected died; if the lower limit of the 95% interval is higher than the state rate, then more patients than expected died.

**Status of CABG:** (STS variable definition) Indicates the status that best describes the clinical status of the patient at the time of surgery:

Emergent Salvage: The patient is undergoing CPR en route to the OR or prior to anesthesia induction.

Emergent: The patient's clinical status includes any of the following: a. Ischemic dysfunction (any of the following): (1) Ongoing ischemia including rest angina despite maximal medical therapy (medical and/or IABP)); (2) Acute Evolving Myocardial Infarction within 24 hours before surgery; or (3) pulmonary edema requiring intubation. b.. Mechanical dysfunction (either of the following): (1) shock with circulatory support; or (2) shock without circulatory support.

Urgent: ALL of the following conditions are met: a. Not elective status.and b. Not emergent status and c. Procedure required during same hospitalization in order to minimize chance of further clinical deterioration and Worsening, sudden chest pain, CHF, acute myocardial infarction, anatomy, IABP, unstable angina with intravenous nitroglycerin or rest angina may be included.

Elective: The patient's cardiac function has been stable in the days or weeks prior to the operation. The procedure could be deferred without increased risk of compromised cardiac outcome.

## **11 - ADVISORY COMMITTEES**

---

Mass-DAC gratefully acknowledges the support from the members of the Mass-DAC Committees who have donated their time to improve the database and the quality of cardiac care in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

**Massachusetts Cardiac Care Hospital Outlier Committee.** A MA Department of Public Health Committee charged with reviewing hospital outlier findings.

<p>David Shahian, M.D., Chair, Center for Quality and Safety; Department of Surgery Massachusetts General Hospital Boston, MA</p>	<p>Sharon-Lise Normand, Ph.D. Professor of Health Care Policy (Biostatistics) Department of Health Care Policy Harvard Medical School Boston, MA</p>
<p>Paul Dreyer, Ph.D. Director, Division of Health Care Quality Massachusetts Department of Public Health Boston, MA</p>	<p>John Pastore, M.D. Clinical Cardiologist St. Elizabeth's Medical Center Boston, MA</p>
<p>Stanley Lewis, M.D. Associate Professor of Medicine Harvard Medical School Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center Boston, MA</p>	<p>David Torchiana, M.D. Chairman and Chief Executive Officer Massachusetts General Physicians Organization Boston, MA</p>
<p>Frank Sellke, M.D. Professor of Surgery Harvard Medical School Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center Boston, MA</p>	<p>Thomas Piemonte, M.D. Director, Cardiac Catheterization Laboratory Lahey Clinic Burlington, MA</p>
<p>Gail Palmeri Massachusetts Department of Public Health Boston, MA</p>	<p>Nancy Murphy Massachusetts Department of Public Health Boston, MA</p>

The 2005 Mass-DAC Cardiac Surgery Data Adjudication Committee reviewed patient-specific data elements and corresponding data documentation submitted by hospitals to Mass-DAC in order to determine validity of coding.

Sari Aranki, M.D. Brigham and Women's Hospital	Sanjay Samy, M.D. Lahey Clinic
Vladimir Birjiniuk, M.D. Mount Auburn Hospital	Frank Sellke, M.D. Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center
Thomas Carr, M.D. Charlton Hospital	David Shahian, M.D. Massachusetts General Hospital
Richard D'Agostino, M.D. Lahey Clinic	Prem Shekar, M.D. Brigham and Women's Hospital
Daniel Engleman, M.D. Baystate Medical Center	Stanley Tam, M.D. UMass Memorial Medical Center
Harold Lazar, M.D. Boston Medical Center	James Rawn, M.D. Brigham and Women's Hospital
Karen Lynch, R.N. Data Manager Massachusetts General Hospital	Tamar Yehoshua Data Manager St. Elizabeth's Medical Center
Jennifer Rautine Data Manager Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center	



**Mass-DAC Physician Reporting Oversight Committee for Cardiac Surgery:** The charge of Committee is to first review blinded summary data for all cardiac surgeons in MA in the review year. Such data include risk-standardized 30-day all-cause mortality rates (SMIR), surgeon volume, surgeon complication rates, and other STS recommended process measures. For surgeons identified as having statistically significant higher than expected mortality, unblinded case fatality reports are also reviewed. Selection of Committee members is the responsibility of the current President of the MA STS.

Susan Edgman-Levitan  
Executive Director, The John D. Stoeckle  
Center for Primary Care Innovation  
Massachusetts General Hospital  
Boston, MA

David Shahian, M.D.  
Chair, Center for Quality and Safety;  
Department of Surgery  
Massachusetts General Hospital  
Boston, MA

Frank Sellke, M.D.  
Professor of Surgery  
Harvard Medical School  
Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center  
Boston, MA

Samuel Shubrooks, M.D.  
Co-Director, Cardiac Cath Lab  
Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center  
Boston, MA

David Torchiana, M.D.  
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer  
Massachusetts General Physicians  
Organization  
Boston, MA

Thomas Vander Salm, M.D.  
Chief, Department of Cardiac Surgery  
North Shore Medical Center –Salem Hospital  
Salem, MA

Sharon-Lise Normand, Ph.D.  
Professor of Health Care Policy (Biostatistics)  
Department of Health Care Policy  
Harvard Medical School  
Boston, MA

**APPENDIX 1:**  
**PROCEDURE IDENTIFICATION GUIDELINES FOR ADULT CARDIAC SURGERY**

---

**Appendix 1: Procedure Identification Guidelines for Adult Cardiac Surgery.** Comparison of classification rules used by New York State and the National STS for determining surgeries belonging in the **Isolated CABG** group versus the **CABG plus Other** group. \*Refers to the National STS Procedure ID Table.

Procedure	Mass-DAC	New York State	STS*
Maze: <b>Open</b> heart approach	Other	All Maze procedures are excluded	Other
Maze: <b>Closed</b> epicardial approach and radio frequency	CABG		Other
Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillator (ICD)	Other	CABG	Other
Ventricular lead insertion for ICD	CABG	CABG	Other
Pacemaker lead insertions	CABG	CABG	CABG
Lung biopsy	Case by case basis	CABG	Other
Patent Foramen Ovale Closure	CABG	CABG	Other
Femoral Artery Procedures	CABG	CABG	Other
Transmyocardial Revascularization	Other	CABG	Other
Opening of the right atrium for tumor resection	Other	Other	Other
Atrial Appendage	CABG	No information available regarding how these procedures are categorized.	
Myxoma	Other		
Unplanned Ventricular Assist Device (VAD) placement	CABG		
Planned Ventricular Assist Device (VAD) placement	Other		
Carotid Surgery	Other		
Lead and device explants	Other		

## **APPENDIX 2: STS DATA ABSTRACTION TOOL - VERSION 2.52.1**

---

(Variables considered optional and not harvested by STS are harvested by Mass-DAC)



The Society of Thoracic Surgeons  
Adult Cardiac Surgery Database  
Data Collection Form  
Version 2.52.1

**A. Administrative**

Participant ID: |\_|\_|\_|\_|\_|\_|\_|\_| Record ID \_\_\_\_\_  
Cost Link Field: \_\_\_\_\_ STS Trial Link Number: |\_|\_|\_|\_|\_|\_|\_|\_| Patient ID \_\_\_\_\_

**B. Demographics**

Last Name: \_\_\_\_\_ First Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Patient M.I.: \_\_\_\_\_ **Name Fields Not Harvested**  
Date of Birth (mm/dd/yyyy): \_\_\_/\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_ Patient Age: \_\_\_\_\_ **System Calculation**  
Gender: Male Female  
Social Security (or National Patient ID) Number: \_\_\_\_\_ **Not Harvested** Medical Record Number: \_\_\_\_\_ **Not Harvested**  
Patient ZIP or Postal Code: \_\_\_\_\_ Race: Caucasian Black Hispanic Asian Native American Other  
Referring Cardiologist's Name: \_\_\_\_\_ **Not Harvested** Referring Physician's Name: \_\_\_\_\_ **Not Harvested**

**C. Hospitalization**

Hospital Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Hospital ZIP Code |\_\_\_\_\_| Hospital State |\_|\_|\_|  
Payor: \_\_\_\_\_ **Not Harvested**  
Date of Admission: \_\_\_/\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_ Date of Surgery: \_\_\_/\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_ Date of Discharge: \_\_\_/\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_  
ICU Visit: Yes No **If Yes, →** Initial ICU Hours: \_\_\_\_\_  
Readmn to ICU: Yes No **If Yes, →** Additional ICU Hours \_\_\_\_\_  
Total Hours in ICU: \_\_\_\_\_

**D. Risk Factors**

Weight (kg): \_\_\_\_\_ Height (cm): \_\_\_\_\_  
Smoker: Yes No **If Yes, →** Current Smoker: Yes No  
Family History of Coronary Artery Disease: Yes No  
Diabetes: Yes No **If Yes, select one: →** Diabetes Control: None Diet Oral Insulin  
Dyslipidemia: Yes No  
Last Creatinine Level Preop: \_\_\_\_\_  
Renal Failure: Yes No **If Yes, →** Dialysis: Yes No  
Hypertension: Yes No  
Cerebrovascular Accident: Yes No **If Yes, →** When: Recent <= 2 weeks Remote > 2 weeks  
Infectious Endocarditis: Yes No **If Yes, →** Infectious Endocarditis Type: Treated Active  
Chronic Lung Disease: No Mild Moderate Severe  
Immunosuppressive Therapy: Yes No  
Peripheral Vascular Disease: Yes No  
Cerebrovascular Disease: Yes No **If Yes, →** CVD Type: Coma CVA RIND TIA Non Invasive > 75% Prior Carotid Surgery

**E. Previous CV Interventions**

Incidence: First CV Surgery First Re-op CV Surgery Second Re-op CV Surgery Third Re-op CV Surgery Fourth or More Re-op Surgery  
Previous CV Interventions: Yes No **If Yes, complete the rest of this section ↓**  
Previous Coronary Artery Bypass: Yes No  
Previous Valve: Yes No  
Previous Other Cardiac – Intrapericardial or Great Vessel: Yes No  
Previous Other Cardiac – AICD: Yes No  
Previous Other Cardiac – Pacemaker: Yes No **If Yes, →** Previous Other Cardiac – Pacemaker Type: Biventricular Univentricular  
Previous Other Cardiac – PCI: Yes No **If Yes, →** Previous Other Cardiac – PCI Interval: <= 6 Hours > 6 Hours

**F. Preoperative Cardiac Status**

Myocardial Infarction: Yes No **If Yes, →** When: <= 6 hours > 6 hours but <24 hours 1 - 7 days 8 - 21 days > 21 days

Congestive Heart Failure: Yes No

Angina: Yes No **If Yes, →** Angina Type: Stable Unstable

Cardiogenic Shock: Yes No **If Yes, →** Cardiogenic Shock Type: Refractory Shock Hemodynamic Instability

Resuscitation: Yes No

Arrhythmia: Yes No **If Yes, →** Arrhythmia Type: Sust VT/VF Heart Block AFib/Flutter None

Classification - NYHA: I II III IV

**G. Preoperative Medications**

Beta Blockers: Yes No

ACE Inhibitors: Yes No

Nitrates I.V.: Yes No

Anticoagulants: Yes No **If Yes, →** Anticoagulants Medication Name: Heparin (Unfractionated) Heparin (Low Molecular) Thrombin Inhibitors

Coumadin: Yes No

Inotropes: Yes No

Steroids: Yes No

Aspirin: Yes No

Lipid-Lowering: Yes No **If Yes, →** Lipid Lowering Medication Name: Statin Non statin

ADP Inhibitors: Yes No

Glycoprotein IIb/IIIa Inhibitor: Yes No **If Yes, →** Glycoprotein IIb/IIIa Inhibitor Medication Name: Abciximab (ReoPro)  
Eptifibatid (Integrilin)  
Tirofiban (Aggrastat)

**H. Hemodynamics and Cath**

Number of Diseased Coronary Vessels: None One Two Three

Left Main Disease >= 50%: Yes No

Ejection Fraction Done? Yes No **If Yes, →** Ejection Fraction: \_\_\_\_\_

Method: LV gram Radionucleotide Estimate ECHO

Pulmonary Artery Mean Pressure Done? Yes No **If Yes, →** Pulmonary Artery Mean Pressure: \_\_\_\_\_

Aortic Stenosis: Yes No **If Yes, →** Gradient: \_\_\_\_\_

Mitral Stenosis: Yes No

Tricuspid Stenosis: Yes No

Pulmonic Stenosis: Yes No

Aortic Insufficiency: 0=None 1=Trivial 2=Mild 3= Moderate 4= Severe

Mitral Insufficiency: 0=None 1=Trivial 2=Mild 3= Moderate 4= Severe

Tricuspid Insufficiency: 0=None 1=Trivial 2=Mild 3= Moderate 4= Severe

Pulmonic Insufficiency: 0=None 1=Trivial 2=Mild 3= Moderate 4= Severe

**I. Operative**

Surgeon's Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Surgeon ID: \_\_\_\_\_

Status of the procedure: ↓

Elective

Urgent → Reason: AMI IABP Worsening CP CHF Anatomy USA Rest Angina

Valve Dysfunction Aortic Dissection Angiographic Accident

Emergent → Reason: Shock Circ Support Shock No Circ Support Pulmonary Edema AEMI

Ongoing Ischemia Valve Dysfunction Aortic Dissection Angiographic Accident

Emergent Salvage

Robotic Technology Assisted: Yes No

Coronary Artery Bypass: Yes No → If Yes, also complete Section J

Valve Surgery: Yes No → If Yes, also complete Section K

Ventricular Assist Device: Yes No → If Yes, also complete Section L

Other Cardiac Procedure: Yes No → If Yes, also complete Section M

Other Non-Cardiac Procedure: Yes No → If Yes, also complete Section N

Skin Incision Start Time: \_\_\_\_\_ 24 hour clock Skin Incision Stop Time: \_\_\_\_\_ 24 hour clock

CPB Utilization: None Combination Full ↓

If Combination, → Combination Plan: Planned Unplanned → If Unplanned, Unplanned Combination Reason: Exposure/visualization

- Bleeding
- Inadequate size and/or diffuse disease of distal vessel
- Hemodynamic Instability
- Conduit quality and/or trauma
- Other

If Combination or Full, → Perfusion Time (min): \_\_\_\_\_

- Cannulation Method: → Aorta and Fem/Jug Vein
- Fem Art and Fem/Jug Vein
- Aorta and Atrial/Caval
- Fem Art and Atrial/Caval
- Other

Aortic Occlusion: → None

Aortic Crossclamp → If Aortic Crossclamp or Balloon Occlusion, → Cross Clamp Time (min): \_\_\_\_\_

- Balloon Occlusion
- Partial Crossclamp

Cardioplegia: Yes No

IABP: Yes No → If Yes, When Inserted: → Preoperatively Intraoperatively Postoperatively

Indication: → Hemodynamic Instab PTCA Support Unstable Angina CPB Wean Prophylactic

Intraop Blood Products: Yes No → If Yes, Red Blood Cell Units \_\_\_\_\_

Fresh Frozen Plasma Units \_\_\_\_\_

Cryoprecipitate Units \_\_\_\_\_

Platelet Units \_\_\_\_\_

### J. Coronary Bypass

Number of Distal Anastomoses with Arterial Conduits: \_\_\_\_\_

Number of Distal Anastomoses with Venous Conduits: \_\_\_\_\_

Anastomotic Device Used: Yes No If Yes, → Anastomotic Device: Glue Magnets Clips Staples Other

IMAs Used as Grafts: Left IMA Right IMA Both IMAs No IMA If Left, Right, or Both ↓

IMA Harvest Technique: Direct Vision Thoracoscopy Combination Robotic Assisted

Number of IMA Distal Anastomoses: \_\_\_\_\_

Radial Artery Used: No Radial Left Radial Right Radial Both Radials If Left, Right, or Both ↓

Number of Radial Artery Distal Anastomoses: \_\_\_\_\_

Number of Gastro-Epiploic Artery Distal Anastomoses: \_\_\_\_\_

Number of Other Arterial Distal Anastomoses: \_\_\_\_\_

## K. Valve Surgery

<u>Aortic:</u>	<u>Mitral:</u>	<u>Tricuspid:</u>	<u>Pulmonic:</u>
No	No	No	No
Replacement	Annuloplasty Only	Annuloplasty Only	Replacement
Repair/Reconstruction	Replacement	Replacement	Reconstruction
Root Reconstruction w/ Valve Conduit	Reconstruction w/ Annuloplasty	Reconstruction w/ Annuloplasty	
Replacement + Aortic Graft Conduit	Reconstruction w/out Annuloplasty	Reconstruction w/out Annuloplasty	
Root Reconstruction w/ Valve Sparing		Valvectomy	
Resuspension Aortic Valve with replacement ascending Aorta			
Resuspension Aortic Valve without replacement ascending Aorta			
Resection Sub-Aortic Stenosis			

Annular Enlargement: Yes No

↓ Key M = Mechanical B = Bioprosthesis H = Homograft A = Autograft (Ross) R = Ring/Annuloplasty BA = Band/Annuloplasty

Aortic Prosthesis -	Implant Type:	None M B H A R BA	Implant: _____	Size: _____
Mitral Prosthesis -	Implant Type:	None M B H A R BA	Implant: _____	Size: _____
Tricuspid Prosthesis -	Implant Type:	None M B H A R BA	Implant: _____	Size: _____
Pulmonic Prosthesis -	Implant Type:	None M B H A R BA	Implant: _____	Size: _____

### Valve Key

#### Mechanical

ATS Mechanical Prosthesis = M1  
 Björk-Shiley Convex-Concave Mechanical Prosthesis = M2  
 Björk-Shiley Monostrut Mechanical Prosthesis = M3  
 CarboMedics Mechanical Prosthesis = M4  
 CarboMedics Carbo-Seal Ascending Aortic Valved Conduit Prosthesis = M16  
 CarboMedics Carbo-Seal Valsalva Ascending Aortic Valved Conduit Prosthesis = M17  
 CarboMedics Reduced Cuff Aortic Valve = M18  
 CarboMedics Standard Aortic Valve = M19  
 CarboMedics Top-Hat Supra-annular Aortic Valve = M20  
 CarboMedics OptiForm Mitral Valve = M21  
 CarboMedics Standard Mitral Valve = M22  
 CarboMedics Orbis Universal Valve = M23  
 CarboMedics Small Adult Aortic and Mitral Valves = M24  
 Edwards Tekna Mechanical Prosthesis = M5  
 Lillehei-Kaster Mechanical Prosthesis = M6  
 MCRI On-X Mechanical Prosthesis = M10  
 Medtronic-Hall/Hall Easy-Fit Mechanical Prosthesis = M7  
 Medtronic ADVANTAGE Mechanical Prosthesis = M25  
 OmniCarbon Mechanical Prosthesis = M8  
 OmniScience Mechanical Prosthesis = M9  
 Sorin Bicarbon (Baxter Mira) Mechanical Prosthesis = M11  
 Sorin Monoleaflet Allcarbon Mechanical Prosthesis = M12  
 St. Jude Medical Mechanical Prosthesis or St. Jude Medical® Mechanical Heart Valve = M13  
 SJM® Masters Series Mechanical Heart Valve = M26  
 SJM® Masters Series Aortic Valve Graft Prosthesis = M27  
 St. Jude Medical® Mechanical Heart Valve Hemodynamic Plus (HP) Series = M28  
 SJM® Masters Series Hemodynamic Plus Valve with FlexCuff™ Sewing Ring = M29  
 SJM Regent™ Valve = M30  
 Starr-Edwards Caged-Ball Prosthesis = M14  
 Ultracor Mechanical Prosthesis = M15

#### Bioprosthetic

Baxter Prima Stentless Porcine Bioprosthesis – Subcoronary = B24  
 Baxter Prima Stentless Porcine Bioprosthesis – Root = B25  
 Biocor Porcine Bioprosthesis = B3  
 Biocor Stentless Porcine Bioprosthesis – Subcoronary = B26  
 Biocor Stentless Porcine Bioprosthesis – Root = B27  
 CarboMedics PhotoFix Pericardial Bioprosthesis = B5  
 Carpentier-Edwards Duraflex Porcine Bioprosthesis = B28  
 Carpentier-Edwards Prima Plus Stentless Porcine Bioprosthesis – Subcoronary = B29  
 Carpentier-Edwards Prima Plus Stentless Porcine Bioprosthesis – Root = B30  
 Carpentier-Edwards PERIMOUNT Pericardial Bioprosthesis = B6  
 Carpentier-Edwards Standard Porcine Bioprosthesis = B7  
 Carpentier-Edwards Supra-Annular Aortic Porcine Bioprosthesis = B8  
 Cryolife O'Brien Stentless Porcine Bioprosthesis – Subcoronary = B31  
 Cryolife O'Brien Stentless Porcine Bioprosthesis – Root = B32  
 Hancock Standard Porcine Bioprosthesis = B10  
 Hancock II Porcine Bioprosthesis = B11

Hancock Modified Orifice Porcine Bioprosthesis = B12  
 Ionescu-Shiley Pericardial Bioprosthesis = B13  
 Labcor Stented Porcine Bioprosthesis = B14  
 Labcor Stentless Porcine Bioprosthesis – Subcoronary = B33  
 Labcor Stentless Porcine Bioprosthesis – Root = B34  
 Medtronic Freestyle Stentless Porcine Bioprosthesis – Subcoronary = B35  
 Medtronic Freestyle Stentless Porcine Bioprosthesis – Root = B36  
 Medtronic Intact Porcine Bioprosthesis = B17  
 Medtronic Mosaic Porcine Bioprosthesis = B18  
 Medtronic Contegra Bovine Jugular Bioprosthesis = B37  
 Mitroflow Pericardial Bioprosthesis = B19  
 St. Jude Medical - Toronto SPV Stentless Porcine Bioprosthesis or SJM Toronto SPV® Valve = B21  
 St. Jude Medical-Bioimplant Porcine Bioprosthesis = B22  
 SJM Biocor™ Valve = B38  
 SJM Epic™ Valve = B39  
 SJM Toronto Root™ Bioprosthesis = B40  
 Sorin Pericarbon Stentless Pericardial Bioprosthesis = B20

#### Homograft

CryoLife Aortic Homograft = H6  
 CryoLife Pulmonary Homograft = H7  
 CryoLife CryoValve SG(Decellularized)Aortic Homograft = H8  
 CryoLife CryoValve SG Pulmonary Homograft = H9  
 Homograft Aortic – Subcoronary = H1  
 Homograft Aortic Root = H2  
 Homograft Mitral = H3  
 Homograft Pulmonic Root = H4  
 LifeNet CV Allografts = H10

#### Autograft

Pulmonary Autograft to aortic root (Ross Procedure) = A1

#### Ring - Annuloplasty

CarboMedics AnnuloFlo Ring = R8  
 CarboMedics AnnuloFlex Ring = R9  
 CarboMedics CardioFix Bovine Pericardium with PhotoFix Technology = R10  
 Carpentier-Edwards Classic Annuloplasty Ring = R1  
 Carpentier-Edwards Physio Annuloplasty System Ring = R2  
 Cosgrove-Edwards Annuloplasty System Ring = R3  
 Edwards MC<sup>3</sup> Tricuspid Annuloplasty System G Future Band = R11  
 Genesee Sculptor Annuloplasty Ring = R12  
 Medtronic Sculptor Ring = R4  
 Medtronic-Duran AnCore Ring = R5  
 Sorin-Puig-Messana Ring = R6  
 St. Jude Medical Sequin Ring or SJM® Séguin Annuloplasty Ring = R7  
 SJM Tailor™ Annuloplasty Ring = R13

#### Band – Annuloplasty

Medtronic Colvin Galloway Future Band = Ba1  
 Medtronic Duran Band = Ba2  
 Medtronic Duran – Ancore Band = Ba3

Other = 777



**L. VAD**

Previous VAD: Yes No

Please note that future references to "initial VAD" refer to the initial VAD for this hospitalization, not a VAD placed during a previous hospitalization.

**Current Circulatory Support: For Initial VAD only**

Indication for VAD: (Bridge to Transplant) (Bridge to Recovery) (Destination) (Separation from CPB) (Device Malfunction)

Intubated Pre VAD: Yes No

Hemodynamics Pre VAD: May be obtained Prior to induction in the OR, or in an ICU immediately prior to OR

PCWP: \_\_\_\_mm/Hg CVP: \_\_\_\_mm/Hg PVR: \_\_\_\_woods units CI: \_\_\_\_L/ (min x m2)

RV Function: (Normal) (Mildly Impaired) (Moderately Impaired) (Severely Impaired)

RV Function method: \_\_\_\_ (Pre-op ECHO) (Intra-op pre VAD TEE)

VO2 Measured: Yes No

Peak VO2: \_\_\_\_ml/kg/min

VAD Device Data:

Implant Type: Fill in below: (RVAD) (LVAD) (BiVAD)

Product Type: Fill in below: 1. HeartQuest VAD 2. Lion Heart 3. Novacor LVAS 4. Heartsaver VAD 5. Jarvik 2000 6. DeBakey VAD 7. TandemHeart pVAD 8. AB-180 iVAD 9. CardioWest TAH 10. Thoratec iVAD 11. HeartMate VE 12. HeartMate IP LVAS 13. HeartMate SNAP-VE 14. HeartMate XVE 15. HeartMate II 16. HeartMate III 17. BVS5000i 18. AbioCor 19. InCor 20. Excor 21. Other

Explant Reason: Fill in below: 1. Cardiac Transplant 2. Recovery 3. Device Transfer 4. Device Related Infection 5. Device Malfunction

**Initial Implant Data**

<u>Implant Type</u>	<u>Product Type</u>	<u>Implant Date</u>	<u>Explant</u>	<u>Explant Date</u>	<u>Explant Reason</u>	<u>Cardiac Tx</u>	<u>Tx Date</u>
_____	_____	___/___/___	Y N	___/___/___	_____	Y N	___/___/___

Initial VAD Cannulation/Attachment Sites:

LVAD Inflow: (LA) (LV)

RVAD Inflow: (RA) (RV)

**Additional Implant(s) Data**

<u>Implant(s) Type</u>	<u>Product Type</u>	<u>Implant Date</u>	<u>Explant</u>	<u>Explant Date</u>	<u>Explant Reason</u>	<u>Cardiac Tx</u>	<u>Tx Date</u>
_____	_____	___/___/___	Y N	___/___/___	_____	Y N	___/___/___
_____	_____	___/___/___	Y N	___/___/___	_____	Y N	___/___/___

**Primary VAD Complications Data:**

Intracranial Bleed:	Yes	No
Embolic Stroke:	Yes	No
Driveline/Cannula Infection:	Yes	No
Pump Pocket Infection:	Yes	No
VAD Endocarditis:	Yes	No
Device Malfunction:	Yes	No

Additional Complications (not specific to initial VAD as above) to be collected in section "P", Complications.

**VAD Status:** Discharged from hospital: (with VAD) (without VAD)

**M. Other Cardiac Procedures**

Yes	No	Left Ventricular Aneurysm Repair	Yes	No	Ventricular Septal Defect Repair	Yes	No	Atrial Septal Defect Repair
Yes	No	Batista	Yes	No	Surgical Ventricular Restoration	Yes	No	Congenital Defect Repair
Yes	No	Transmyocard Laser Revasc	Yes	No	Cardiac Trauma	Yes	No	Cardiac Transplant

Arrhythmia Correction Surgery → None

- Permanent Pacemaker
- Permanent Pacemaker with Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy (CRT)
- Implanted Cardioverter Defibrillator (ICD)
- ICD with CRT

If "Permanent Pacemaker with CRT" or "ICD with CRT", then answer ↓

Arrhythmia Correction Surgery – Lead Placement → Epicardial Endocardial

Atrial Fibrillation Correction Surgery → None

- Standard Surgical Maze Procedure
- Other Surgical Ablative Procedure
- Combination of Standard and Other [If Other or Combo, then answer ↓](#)

Atrial Fibrillation Surgery – Energy Source → Unipolar Radiofrequency  
 Bipolar Radiofrequency  
 Microwave  
 Cryothermia  
 Other  
 Combination of above

Yes	No	Aortic Aneurysm	<a href="#">If Yes, →</a>	Yes	No	Ascending Aorta
				Yes	No	Aortic Arch
				Yes	No	Descending Aorta
				Yes	No	Thoracoabdominal Aorta

Yes No Other

**N. Other Non Cardiac Procedures**

Yes	No	Carotid Endarterectomy	Yes	No	Other Vascular	Yes	No	Other Thoracic	Yes	No	Other
-----	----	------------------------	-----	----	----------------	-----	----	----------------	-----	----	-------

**O. Post Operative**

Blood Products Used Postoperatively: Yes No → [If Yes,](#) Red Blood Cell Units \_\_\_\_\_  
 Fresh Frozen Plasma Units \_\_\_\_\_  
 Cryoprecipitate Units \_\_\_\_\_  
 Platelet Units \_\_\_\_\_

Extubated in OR: Yes No [If No, →](#) Initial # Hrs Ventilated Postop: \_\_\_\_\_

Re-intubated During Hosp Stay: Yes No [If Yes, →](#) Addl Hours Ventilated Postop: \_\_\_\_\_

Total Hours Ventilated Postop: \_\_\_\_\_

**P. Complications** In Hospital Complications: Yes No

Operative:

- Yes No ReOp for Bleeding Tamponade
- Yes No ReOp for Valvular Dysfunction
- Yes No ReOp for Graft Occlusion
- Yes No ReOp for Other Cardiac Problem
- Yes No ReOp for Other Non Cardiac Problem
- Yes No Perioperative MI

Infection:

- Yes No Sternum – Deep
- Yes No Thoracotomy
- Yes No Leg
- Yes No Septicemia

Neurologic:  
Yes No Postoperative Stroke for >72 hours  
Yes No Transient Neurologic Deficit  
Yes No Continuous Coma >=24Hrs

Pulmonary:  
Yes No Prolonged Ventilation  
Yes No Pulmonary Embolism  
Yes No Pneumonia

Renal:  
Yes No Renal Failure [If Yes, ↓](#)  
Yes No Dialysis (Newly Required)

Vascular:  
Yes No Iliac/Femoral Dissection  
Yes No Acute Limb Ischemia

Other:  
Yes No Heart Block  
Yes No Cardiac Arrest  
Yes No Anticoagulant Complication  
Yes No Tamponade  
Yes No Gastro-Intestinal Complication

Yes No Multi-System Failure  
Yes No Atrial Fibrillation  
Yes No Aortic Dissection  
Yes No Other

#### Q. Mortality

Mortality: Yes No Discharge Status: Alive Dead Status at 30 days after surgery: Alive Dead Unknown  
Operative Death: Yes No [Only answered if Mortality = Yes](#)  
Mortality - Date \_\_\_/\_\_\_/\_\_\_ (mm/dd/yyyy) [Only answered if Mortality = Yes](#)  
Location of Death: OR during initial surgery Hospital Home Other Care Facility OR during reoperation [Only answered if Mortality = Yes](#)  
Primary Cause of Death (select only one): [Only answered if Mortality = Yes](#)  
Cardiac Neurologic Renal Vascular Infection Pulmonary Valvular Unknown Other

#### R. Discharge (Note: This section is only answered if Discharge Status is "Alive")

ADP Inhibitors: Yes No

Antiarrhythmics: Yes No [If Yes, ↓](#)

Antiarrhythmics – Discharge – Medication Name: Amiodarone Other

Aspirin: Yes No

Ace-Inhibitors: Yes No

Beta Blockers: Yes No

Lipid Lowering: Yes No [If Yes, ↓](#)

Lipid Lowering – Discharge – Medication Type: Statin Non statin

Coumadin: Yes No

Discharge Location: Home Extended Care/TCU Other Hospital Nursing Home Other

Cardiac Rehabilitation Referral: Yes No Not Applicable

Smoking Cessation Counseling: Yes No Not Applicable

S. **Readmission** (Note: This section is only answered if Discharge Status is "Alive")

Readmit <=30 Days from Date of Procedure: Yes No ↓ If Yes, select the primary reason and procedure

Readmit Reason:

- Anticoagulation Complication – Valvular
- Anticoagulation Complication - Pharmacological
- Arrhythmias/Heart Block
- Congestive Heart Failure
- Myocardial Infarction and/or Recurrent Angina
- Pericardial Effusion and/or Tamponade
- Pneumonia or other Respiratory Complication
- Coronary Artery Dysfunction
- Valve Dysfunction
- Infection - Deep Sternum
- Infection – Conduit Harvest Site
- Renal Failure
- TIA
- Permanent CVA
- Acute Vascular Complication
- Subacute Endocarditis
- VAD Complication
- Other – Related Readmission
- Other – Nonrelated Readmission

Readmit Reason – Primary Procedure:

- OR for Bleeding
- Pacemaker Insertion/AICD
- PCI
- Pericardiotomy/Pericardiocentesis
- OR for Coronary Arteries
- OR for Valve
- OR for Sternal Debridement/Muscle Flap
- Dialysis
- OR for Vascular
- No Procedure Performed
- Other Procedure
- Unknown